### OV1: Overview of RWA

			а	b	С
			Risk –weigh	Minimum capital requirements N1	
	R' 000	Notes	30 September 2022	30 June 2022	30 September 2022
1	Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk)	N2	8,552,057	7,114,511	983,487
2	Of which: standardised approach (SA)		8,552,057	7,114,511	983,487
3	Of which: foundation internal ratings-based (F-IRB) approach		-	-	-
4	Of which: supervisory slotting approach		-	-	-
5	Of which: advanced internal ratings-based (A-IRB) approach			-	
6	Counterparty credit risk (CCR)		11,835	7,891	1,361
7	Of which: standardised approach for counterparty credit risk		11,835	7,891	1,361
8	Of which: Internal Model Method (IMM)			-	-
9	Of which: other CCR			-	
10	Credit valuation adjustment (CVA)		6,725	4,483	773
11	Equity positions under the simple risk weight approach		-	-	•
12	Equity investments in funds - look-through approach		-	-	-
13	Equity investments in funds - mandate-based approach		-	-	-
14	Equity investments in funds - fall-back approach		-	-	-
15	Settlement risk		-	-	-
16	Securitisation exposures in the banking book		-	-	-
17	Of which: securitisation internal ratings-based approach (SEC-IRBA)		-	-	-
18	Of which: securitisation external ratings-based approach (SEC-ERBA), including internal assessment approach		-	-	-
19	Of which: securitisation standardised approach (SEC-SA)		-	-	-
20	Market risk		6,375	37,256	733
21	Of which: standardised approach (SA)		6,375	37,256	733
22	Of which: internal model approaches (IMA)		-	-	-
23	Capital charge for switch between trading book and banking book		-	-	-
24	Operational risk		1,437,759	1,435,639	165,342
25	Amounts below thresholds for deduction (subject to 250% risk weight)		313,705	173,910	36,076
26	Floor adjustment		-	-	-
27	Total (1+6+10+11+12+13+14+15+16+20+23+24+25+26)	N3	10,328,456	8,773,690	1,187,772

#### Notes

N1:	Minimum capital requirements:	South African base minima	(9%) + conservation buffer (2	2.5%)
-----	-------------------------------	---------------------------	-------------------------------	-------

N2: Credit risk: The increase in credit risk is as a result of new syndicated term loans granted to clients and the depreciation of the exchange rates.

N3: Total: The increase in total risk weighted assets is mainly as a result of an increase in credit risk.

### KM1: Key Metrics

			а	b	С	d	е
	R'000	Notes	30 September 2022	30 June 2022	31 March 2022	31 December 2021	30 September 2021
	Available capital (amounts)						
1	Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1)		5,999,366	5,955,698	5,838,782	5,775,317	5,648,775
1a	Fully loaded ECL accounting model		5,999,366	5,955,698	5,838,782	5,775,317	5,648,775
2	Tier 1		5,999,366	5,955,698	5,838,782	5,775,317	5,648,775
2a	Fully loaded accounting model Tier 1		5,999,366	5,955,698	5,838,782	5,775,317	5,648,775
3	Total capital		6,104,222	6,042,562	5,916,681	5,907,066	5,787,718
3a	Fully loaded ECL accounting model total capital		6,104,222	6,042,562	5,916,681	5,907,066	5,787,718
	Risk-weighted assets (amounts)	N1					
4	Total risk-weighted assets (RWA)		10,328,456	8,773,690	7,991,333	12,237,874	12,665,830
	Risk-based capital ratios as a percentage of RWA	N2					
5	Common Equity Tier 1 ratio (%)		58.09%	67.88%	73.06%	47.19%	44.60%
5a	Fully loaded ECL accounting model CET1 (%)		58.09%	67.88%	73.06%	47.19%	44.60%
6	Tier 1 ratio (%)		58.09%	67.88%	73.06%	47.19%	44.60%
6a	Fully loaded ECL accounting model Tier 1 ratio (%)		58.09%	67.88%	73.06%	47.19%	44.60%
7	Total capital ratio (%)		59.10%	68.87%	74.04%	48.27%	45.70%
7a	Fully loaded ECL accounting model total capital ratio (%)		59.10%	68.87%	74.04%	48.27%	45.70%
	Additional CET1 buffer requirements as a percentage of RWA	N3					
8	Capital conservation buffer requirement (2.5% from 2019) (%)		2.50%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%
9	Countercyclical buffer requirement (%)		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
10	Bank D-SIB additional requirements (%)		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
11	Total of bank CET1 specific buffer requirements (%) (row 8 + row 9+ row 10)		2.50%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%
12	CET1 available after meeting the bank's minimum capital requirements (%)		50.21%	60.01%	65.19%	39.82%	37.22%
	Basel III Leverage Ratio	N4					
13	Total Basel III leverage ratio measure		39,590,652	35,042,040	33,905,165	42,402,675	38,789,724
14	Basel III leverage ratio (%) (row 2/row 13)		15.15%	17.00%	17.22%	13.62%	14.56%
14a	Fully loaded ECL accounting model Basel III leverage ratio (%) (row 2a/row 13)		15.15%	17.00%	17.22%	13.62%	14.56%
	Liquidity Coverage Ratio	N5					
15	Total HQLA	N6	8,113,665	6,239,281	6,224,075	10,346,577	7,415,609
16	Total net cash outflow		2,369,719	2,309,841	2,005,275	3,093,104	1,221,981
17	LCR ratio (%)	N7	342.39%	270.12%	310.39%	334.50%	606.85%
	Net Stable Funding Ratio		1				
18	Total available stable funding		21,497,824	19,149,426	18,379,656	19,417,674	20,732,900
19	Total required stable funding	N8	9,933,979	7,998,861	7,885,067	9,232,563	9,476,166
20	NSFR ratio (%)	N9	216.41%	239.40%	233.09%	210.32%	218.79%

#### Notes

N1: Risk weighted assets: Please refer to OV1.

N2: Risk-based capital ratios as a percentage of RWA: The decrease is as a result of increases in credit risk (please refer to OV1).

- N3: Additional CET1 buffer requirements as a percentage of RWA: The decrease is as a result of increases in credit risk.
- N4: Basel III Leverage ratio: Please refer to LR1.
- N5: The LCR reported in KM1 is the quarter-end LCR as indicated below in the LIQ1 this is prepared on different basis and therefore will not agree.
- N6: Total HQLA: The increase in HQLA is as a result of the purchase of new USD denominated RSA government bonds and treasury bills to replace those that have matured.
- N7: LCR ratio: The increase in LCR is mainly as a result of an increase in HQLA. Net cash outflows remained relatively muted.
- N8: Total required stable funding: The increase in required stable funding is as a result of new syndicated term loans granted to clients and the depreciation of exchange rates.
- N9: NSFR ratio: The percentage increase in total required stable funding is greater than the percentage increase in total available stable funding thus resulting in a decrease in NSFR.

# LR1: Summary comparison of accounting assets vs leverage ratio exposure method

	R'000		а
		Notes	N1
1	Total consolidated assets as per published financial statements		38,696,243
2	Adjustments for investments in banking, financial, insurance or commercial entities that are consolidated for accounting purposes but outside the scope of regulatory consolidation		-
3	Adjustment for fiduciary assets recognised on the balance sheet pursuant to the operative accounting framework but excluded from the leverage ratio exposure measure		-
4	Adjustments for derivative financial instruments		-778,945
5	Adjustment for securities financing transactions (i.e. repos and similar secured lending)		-
6	Adjustments for off-balance sheet items (i.e. conversion to credit equivalent amounts of off- balance sheet exposures)		1,673,809
7	Other adjustments		-455
8	Leverage ratio exposure measure	N2	39,590,652

#### Notes

N1: Please note that KM1 above contains the comparatives of the Leverage Ratio Exposure measure in aggregate, reference should be made to that disclosure.

N2: Leverage ratio exposure measure: The increase is mainly attributable to an increase in total assets resulting from new syndicated term loans granted to clients as well as a depreciation of the exchange rate.

## LR2: Summary comparison of accounting assets vs leverage ratio exposure method

	R'000		а	b
		Notes	30 September 2022	30 June 2022
On-l	palance sheet exposures			
1	On-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and securities financing transactions (SFTs), but including collateral)		37,739,282	33,833,982
2	(Asset amounts deducted in determining Basel III Tier 1 capital)		-455	-584
3	Total on-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs) (sum of row 1 and 2)	N1	37,738,827	33,833,398
4	Replacement cost associated with <i>all</i> derivatives transactions (where applicable net of eligible cash variation margin and/or with bilateral netting)		940	-
5	Add-on amounts for PFE associated with all derivatives transactions		177,076	137,788
6	Gross-up for derivatives collateral provide where deducted from the balance sheet assets pursuant to the operative accounting framework		-	-
7	(Deductions of receivable assets for cash variation margin provided in derivatives transactions)		-	-
8	(Exempted CCP leg of client-cleared trade exposures)		-	-
9	Adjusted effective notional amount of written credit derivatives		-	-
10	(Adjusted effective notional offsets and add-on deductions for written credit derivatives)		-	-
11	Total derivative exposures (sum of rows 4 to 10)	N2	178,016	137,788
		T		
12	Gross SFT <i>assets</i> (with no recognition of netting), after adjusting for sale accounting transactions		-	-
13	(Netted amounts of cash payables and cash receivables of gross SFT assets)		-	-
14	CCR exposure for SFT assets		-	-
15	Agent transaction exposures		-	-
16	Total securities financing transaction exposures (sum of rows 12 to 15)		-	-
17	Off-balance sheet exposure at gross notional amount		3,347,619	2,141,709
18	(Adjustments for conversion to credit equivalent amounts)		-1,673,810	-1,070,855
10	Off-balance sheet items (sum of rows 17 and 18)	N3	1,673,809	1,070,854
20	Tier 1 capital		5,999,366	5,955,698
21	Total exposures (sum of rows 3, 11, 16 and 19)	N4	39,590,652	35,042,040
22	Basel III leverage ratio		15.15%	17.00%

#### Notes

N1: On balance sheet exposure: The increase is as a result of new syndicated term loans granted to clients as well as a depreciation of the exchange rate.

N2: Derivative exposures: The increase is as a result of fair value movements in currency swaps resulting from exchange rate fluctuations.

- N3: Off-balance sheet items: The increase is as a result of new committed facilities granted to clients and not yet drawndown.
- N4: Total exposures: Please refer to LR1.

4

# LIQ1: LIQUIDITY COVERAGE RATIO (LCR)

	R'000		а	b
			Total	Total
		Notes	unweighted	weighted
			value	value
			(average)	(average)
High	-Quality Liquid Assets			· · · ·
1	Total HQLA			16,515,445
2	Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers, of which:		27,971	2,797
3	Stable deposits		-	-
4	Less stable deposits		27,971	2,797
5	Unsecured wholesale funding, of which:		9,508,898	7,527,408
6	Operational deposits (all counterparties) and deposits in networks of cooperative banks		-	-
7	Non-operational deposits (all counterparties)		9,508,898	7,527,408
8	Unsecured debt		_	-
9	Secured wholesale funding			-
10	Additional requirements, of which:		2,614,718	545,554
11	Outflows related to derivative exposures and other collateral requirements		157,752	157,752
12	Outflows related to loss of funding of debt products		-	-
13	Credit and liquidity facilities		2,456,966	387,802
14	Other contractual funding obligations		10,199	510
15	Other contingent funding obligations		-	-
16	TOTAL CASH OUTFLOWS			8,076,269
17	Secured lending (e.g. reverse repo)		-	-
18	Inflows from fully performing exposures		10,356,283	10,352,308
19	Other cash inflows		616,901	616,901
20	TOTAL CASH INFLOWS		10,973,184	10,969,209
21	Total HQLA	1		
21	Total HQLA	N2		16,515,445
		INZ		2,019,067
23	Liquidity coverage ratio			840%

#### Notes

N1: CCB-JHB has completed LIQ1 based on the requirements of BCBS 400 - "Pillar 3 disclosure requirements consolidated and enhanced framework", which prescribes that this return must be presented on a simple daily average over the quarter. The daily calculation of LCR performed by the Bank does not include the limitations on HQLA as per Banks Act Directive 7/2014 and Circular 5/2016 whereas the monthly calculation does take into account the limitations per Directive 7/2014 and Circular 5/2016.

For reference the LCR ratio as at 30 September 2022 (based on the monthly calculation including the limitations) is 342%.

The number of data points used in the daily calculation is 92 days.

N2: Total net cash outflows does not equal total cash outflows less total cash inflows is due to the restriction on the cash inflows where it is limited to 75% of cash outflows for certain days in this quarter.

# Note: the following tables as per Directive 1 of 2019 have not been included in the present disclosure and the reasons are stated as per the below:

Explanation	Directive 1 of 2019: Quarterly Disclosure required tables per Annex 1
CCB-JHB does not make use of the IMA and VaR estimates	MR2, MR3
CCB-JHB does not make use of the IRB approach for measuring Credit Risk	CR8 ,CR7
CCB-JHB does not make use of the IMM for measuring Counterparty Credit Risk	CCR7