| COMPOSITION OF CAPITAL DISCLOSURE TEMPLATE | | |
|--|---------------|--|
| Name of bank/ controlling company : | ССВЈНВ | |
| Six months* ended: | 31 March 2018 | |

| | (M1: Key metrics (at consolidated group level) of risk management, key prudential metrics and RWA | | | | | Quarterly |
|---|--|--|--|------------|---------------|---|
| | truction Bank Johannesburg Branch | | | | | 31-Mar-18 |
| | | а | b | c | d | |
| | | т | T-1 | T-2 | T-3 | T-4 |
| | Available capital (amounts) | | | | | |
| 1 | Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) | 4 519 868 | 4 407 735 | 4 407 735 | 4 272 326 | 4 220 249 |
| 1a | Fully loaded ECL accounting model | 4 519 868 | 4 407 725 | 4 407 725 | 4 272 226 | 4 220 240 |
| 2 | Tier 1 | 4 519 868 4 519 868 | 4 407 735 | 4 407 735 | 4 272 326 | 4 220 249 |
| 2a 3 | Fully loaded accounting model Tier 1 Total capital | 4 579 034 | 4 493 977 | 4 383 127 | 4 351 879 | 4 296 629 |
| 3a | Fully loaded ECL accounting model total capital | 4 579 034 | 4 493 977 | 4 383 127 | 4 3 3 1 8 / 9 | 4 2 90 0 2 3 |
| 54 | Risk-weighted assets (amounts) | 1070 001 | | | | |
| 4 | Total risk-weighted assets (RWA) | 17 012 256 | 20 734 678 | 19 354 211 | 18 432 557 | 14 001 89 |
| | Risk-based capital ratios as a percentage of RWA | | | | | |
| 5 | Common Equity Tier 1 ratio (%) | 26.57% | 21.26% | 22.15% | 23.18% | 30.149 |
| 5a | Fully loaded ECL accounting model CET1 (%) | 26.57% | | | | |
| 6 | Tier 1 ratio (%) | 26.57% | 21.26% | 22.15% | 23.18% | 30.149 |
| 6a | Fully loaded ECL accounting model Tier 1 ratio (%) | 26.57% | | | | |
| 7 | Total capital ratio (%) | 26.92% | 21.67% | 22.65% | 23.61% | 30.69% |
| 7a | Fully loaded ECL accounting model total capital ratio (%) Additional CET1 buffer requirements as a percentage of RWA | 26.92% | | | | |
| 8 | Capital conservation buffer requirement (2.5% from 2019) (%) | 1.88% | 1.25% | 1.25% | 1.25% | 1.25% |
| 9 | Countercyclical buffer requirement (%) | 1.0070 | 1.2370 | 1.2570 | 1.2370 | 1.237 |
| 10 | Bank D-SIB additional requirements (%) | | | | | |
| 11 | Total of bank CET1 specific buffer requirements (%) (row 8 + row 9+ row 10) | 1.88% | 1.25% | 1.25% | 1.25% | 1.25% |
| 12 | CET1 available after meeting the bank's minimum capital requirements (%) | 18.82% | 13.63% | 14.52% | 15.55% | 22.52% |
| | Basel III Leverage Ratio | | | | | |
| 13 | Total Basel III leverage ratio measure | 37 924 072 | 38 450 230 | 41 250 357 | 40 635 384 | 42 721 465 |
| 14 | Basel III leverage ratio (%) (row 2/row 13) | 11.92% | 11.46% | 10.69% | 10.51% | 9.88% |
| 14a | Fully loaded ECL accounting model Basel III leverage ratio (%) (row 2A/row 13) | 11.92% | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | 11.52/0 | | v | Ŭ | |
| | Liquidity Coverage Ratio | | | | 1 | 1 |
| 15 | Total HQLA | 7 752 572 | 7 756 158 | | 11 106 493 | |
| 16 | Total net cash outflow | 3 415 465 | 6 563 072 | | 11 342 321 | |
| 17 | LCR ratio (%) | 226.98% | 118.18% | 112.49% | 97.92% | 101.56% |
| 18 | Net Stable Funding Ratio Total available stable funding | 16 108 141 | | [| 1 | 1 |
| 18 | Total required stable funding | 13 190 116 | | | | |
| 20 | NSFR ratio (%) | 122.12% | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Template C | DV1: Overview of RWA | | | | | |
| Template C Overview o | | | | | | Quarterly |
| Overview o | | | | | | |
| Overview o | of RWA | a | b | | | Quarterly 31-Mar-18 |
| Overview o | of RWA | a | RWA | Minimu | ım capital re | <u>31-Mar-18</u> |
| Overview o China Cons | of RWA truction Bank Johannesburg Branch | Т | RWA T-1 | Minimu | ım capital re | <u>31-Mar-18</u> equirement |
| Overview o China Cons | of RWA truction Bank Johannesburg Branch Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) | T 15 110 758 | RWA T-1 16 995 553 | Minimu | ım capital re | 31-Mar-11 equirement 1 794 40 |
| <u>Overview c</u> China Cons | truction Bank Johannesburg Branch truction Bank Johannesburg Branch Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) Of which: standardised approach (SA) | Т | RWA T-1 | Minimu | ım capital re | 31-Mar-11 equirement 1 794 40 |
| <u>Overview c</u> China Cons | of RWA truction Bank Johannesburg Branch 1 Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) 2 Of which: standardised approach (SA) 3 Of which: foundation internal ratings-based (F-IRB) approach | T 15 110 758 | RWA T-1 16 995 553 | Minimu | ım capital re | 31-Mar-11 equirement 1 794 40 |
| <u>Overview c</u> China Cons | of RWA truction Bank Johannesburg Branch 1 Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) 2 Of which: standardised approach (SA) 3 Of which: foundation internal ratings-based (F-IRB) approach 4 Of which: supervisory slotting approach | T 15 110 758 | RWA T-1 16 995 553 | Minimu | um capital re | 31-Mar-11 equirement 1 794 40 |
| <u>Overview c</u> China Cons | of RWA truction Bank Johannesburg Branch truction Bank Johannesburg Branch 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 2 3 3 3 4 <td>T 15 110 758 15 110 758</td> <td>RWA T-1 16 995 553 16 995 553</td> <td>Minimu</td> <td>ım capital re</td> <td>31-Mar-1 equirement 1 794 40 1 794 40</td> | T 15 110 758 15 110 758 | RWA T-1 16 995 553 16 995 553 | Minimu | ım capital re | 31-Mar-1 equirement 1 794 40 1 794 40 |
| Dverview c | of RWA truction Bank Johannesburg Branch 1 Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) 2 Of which: standardised approach (SA) 3 Of which: foundation internal ratings-based (F-IRB) approach 4 Of which: supervisory slotting approach 5 Of which: advanced internal ratings-based (A-IRB) approach 6 Counterparty credit risk (CCR) | T 15 110 758 15 110 758 657 897 | RWA T-1 16 995 553 16 995 553 2 478 494 | Minimu | ım capital re | 31-Mar-1: equirement 1 794 40 1 794 40 78 12 |
| <u>Dverview c</u> <u>China Cons</u> | of RWA truction Bank Johannesburg Branch truction Bank Johannesburg Branch 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 2 3 3 3 4 <td>T 15 110 758 15 110 758</td> <td>RWA T-1 16 995 553 16 995 553</td> <td>Minimu</td> <td>ım capital re</td> <td>31-Mar-1: equirement 1 794 40 1 794 40 78 12</td> | T 15 110 758 15 110 758 | RWA T-1 16 995 553 16 995 553 | Minimu | ım capital re | 31-Mar-1: equirement 1 794 40 1 794 40 78 12 |
| Overview o | of RWA truction Bank Johannesburg Branch 1 Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) 2 Of which: standardised approach (SA) 3 Of which: foundation internal ratings-based (F-IRB) approach 4 Of which: supervisory slotting approach 5 Of which: advanced internal ratings-based (A-IRB) approach 6 Counterparty credit risk (CCR) 7 Of which: standardised approach for counterparty credit risk | T 15 110 758 15 110 758 657 897 | RWA T-1 16 995 553 16 995 553 2 478 494 | Minimu | ım capital re | 31-Mar-1: equirement 1 794 40 1 794 40 78 12 |
| Dverview c | of RWA truction Bank Johannesburg Branch 1 <t< td=""><td>T 15 110 758 15 110 758 657 897</td><td>RWA T-1 16 995 553 16 995 553 2 478 494</td><td>Minimu</td><td>ım capital re</td><td>31-Mar-1: equirement 1 794 40 1 794 40 78 12</td></t<> | T 15 110 758 15 110 758 657 897 | RWA T-1 16 995 553 16 995 553 2 478 494 | Minimu | ım capital re | 31-Mar-1: equirement 1 794 40 1 794 40 78 12 |
| Dverview c China Cons | of RWA truction Bank Johannesburg Branch 1 Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) 2 Of which: standardised approach (SA) 3 Of which: foundation internal ratings-based (F-IRB) approach 4 Of which: supervisory slotting approach 5 Of which: advanced internal ratings-based (A-IRB) approach 6 Counterparty credit risk (CCR) 7 Of which: Internal Model Method (IMM) 9 Of which: other CCR | T 15 110 758 15 110 758 657 897 | RWA T-1 16 995 553 16 995 553 2 478 494 | Minimu | ım capital re | 31-Mar-1: equirement 1 794 40 1 794 40 78 12 |
| Dverview c China Cons | of RWA truction Bank Johannesburg Branch 1 Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) 2 Of which: standardised approach (SA) 3 Of which: foundation internal ratings-based (F-IRB) approach 4 Of which: supervisory slotting approach 5 Of which: advanced internal ratings-based (A-IRB) approach 6 Counterparty credit risk (CCR) 7 Of which: Internal Model Method (IMM) 9 Of which: other CCR 0 Credit valuation adjustment (CVA) | T 15 110 758 15 110 758 657 897 | RWA T-1 16 995 553 16 995 553 2 478 494 | Minimu | ım capital re | 31-Mar-1: equirement 1 794 40 1 794 40 78 12 |
| Dverview c China Cons China Cons China Cons C China Cons C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C | of RWA truction Bank Johannesburg Branch truction Bank Johannesburg Branch 1 Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) 2 Of which: standardised approach (SA) 3 Of which: standardised approach (SA) 4 Of which: supervisory slotting approach 5 Of which: advanced internal ratings-based (A-IRB) approach 6 Counterparty credit risk (CCR) 7 Of which: internal Model Method (IMM) 9 Of which: other CCR 0 Credit valuation adjustment (CVA) 1 Equity positions under the simple risk weight approach 2 Equity investments in funds - look-through approach 3 Equity investments in funds - mandate-based approach | T 15 110 758 15 110 758 657 897 | RWA T-1 16 995 553 16 995 553 2 478 494 | Minimu | ım capital re | 31-Mar-1: equirement 1 794 40 1 794 40 78 12 |
| Dverview c China Cons China Cons I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I | of RWA truction Bank Johannesburg Branch truction Bank Johannesburg Branch 1 Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) 2 Of which: standardised approach (SA) 3 Of which: foundation internal ratings-based (F-IRB) approach 4 Of which: supervisory slotting approach 5 Of which: advanced internal ratings-based (A-IRB) approach 6 Counterparty credit risk (CCR) 7 Of which: internal Model Method (IMM) 9 Of which: other CCR 0 Credit valuation adjustment (CVA) 1 Equity positions under the simple risk weight approach 2 Equity investments in funds - look-through approach 3 Equity investments in funds - mandate-based approach 4 Equity investments in funds - fall-back approach | T 15 110 758 15 110 758 657 897 | RWA T-1 16 995 553 16 995 553 2 478 494 | Minimu | um capital re | 31-Mar-1: equirement 1 794 40 1 794 40 78 12 |
| Dverview c China Cons China Cons 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | of RWA truction Bank Johannesburg Branch 1 Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) 2 0 f which: standardised approach (SA) 3 Of which: foundation internal ratings-based (F-IRB) approach 4 Of which: supervisory slotting approach 5 Of which: advanced internal ratings-based (A-IRB) approach 6 Counterparty credit risk (CCR) 7 Of which: Internal Model Method (IMM) 9 Of which: other CCR 0 Credit valuation adjustment (CVA) 1 Equity positions under the simple risk weight approach 2 Equity investments in funds - look-through approach 3 Equity investments in funds - fall-back approach 4 Equity investments in funds - fall-back approach 5 Settlement risk | T 15 110 758 15 110 758 657 897 | RWA T-1 16 995 553 16 995 553 2 478 494 | Minimu | um capital re | 31-Mar-11 equirement 1 794 403 1 794 403 78 12 |
| Dverview c China Cons China Cons 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | of RWA truction Bank Johannesburg Branch 1 Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) 2 Of which: standardised approach (SA) 3 Of which: foundation internal ratings-based (F-IRB) approach 4 Of which: supervisory slotting approach 5 Of which: advanced internal ratings-based (A-IRB) approach 6 Counterparty credit risk (CCR) 7 Of which: Internal Model Method (IMM) 9 Of which: internal Model Method (IMM) 9 Of which: other CCR 0 Credit valuation adjustment (CVA) 1 Equity investments in funds - look-through approach 2 Equity investments in funds - look-through approach 4 Equity investments in funds - fall-back approach 4 Equity investments in funds - fall-back approach 5 Settlement risk 6 Securitisation exposures in the banking book | T 15 110 758 15 110 758 657 897 | RWA T-1 16 995 553 16 995 553 2 478 494 | Minimu | um capital re | 31-Mar-1: equirement 1 794 40 1 794 40 78 12 |
| Dverview c China Cons China Cons 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | of RWA truction Bank Johannesburg Branch truction Bank Johannesburg Branch 1 1 Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) 2 0 1 2 0 4 0 4 0 4 0 5 0 6 0 7 0 7 0 9 0 9 0 10 10 10 11 11 12 13 14 14 15 15 16 16 17 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 10 11 11 </td <td>T 15 110 758 15 110 758 657 897</td> <td>RWA T-1 16 995 553 16 995 553 2 478 494</td> <td>Minimu</td> <td>um capital re</td> <td>31-Mar-1: equirement 1 794 40 1 794 40 78 12</td> | T 15 110 758 15 110 758 657 897 | RWA T-1 16 995 553 16 995 553 2 478 494 | Minimu | um capital re | 31-Mar-1: equirement 1 794 40 1 794 40 78 12 |
| Dverview c China Cons China Cons 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | of RWA truction Bank Johannesburg Branch truction Bank Johannesburg Branch truction Bank Johannesburg Branch Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) 1 Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) 2 Of which: standardised approach (SA) 3 Of which: foundation internal ratings-based (F-IRB) approach 4 Of which: supervisory slotting approach 5 Of which: advanced internal ratings-based (A-IRB) approach 6 Counterparty credit risk (CCR) 7 Of which: standardised approach for counterparty credit risk 8 Of which: Internal Model Method (IMM) 9 Of which: other CCR 0 Credit valuation adjustment (CVA) 1 Equity investments in funds - look-through approach 2 Equity investments in funds - fall-back approach 3 Equity investments in funds - fall-back approach 4 Equity investments in funds - fall-back approach 5 Settlement risk 6 Securitisation exposures in the banking book 7 Of which: securitisation internal ratings-based approach (SEC-IRBA) 0< | T 15 110 758 15 110 758 657 897 | RWA T-1 16 995 553 16 995 553 2 478 494 | Minimu | um capital re | 31-Mar-1: equirement 1 794 40 1 794 40 78 12 |
| Dverview c China Cons China Cons 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | of RWA truction Bank Johannesburg Branch truction Bank Johannesburg Branch truction Bank Johannesburg Branch 1 Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) 2 Of which: standardised approach (SA) 3 Of which: foundation internal ratings-based (F-IRB) approach 4 Of which: supervisory slotting approach 5 Of which: advanced internal ratings-based (A-IRB) approach 6 Counterparty credit risk (CCR) 7 Of which: standardised approach for counterparty credit risk 8 Of which: Internal Model Method (IMM) 9 Of which: other CCR 0 Credit valuation adjustment (CVA) 1 Equity positions under the simple risk weight approach 2 Equity investments in funds - look-through approach 3 Equity investments in funds - fall-back approach 4 Equity investments in funds - fall-back approach 5 Settlement risk 6 Securitisation exposures in the banking book 7 Of which: securitisation internal ratings-based approach (SEC-IRBA) 0 Of which: securitisation external ratings-based approach (SEC-ERBA), | T 15 110 758 15 110 758 657 897 | RWA T-1 16 995 553 16 995 553 2 478 494 | | ım capital re | 31-Mar-1: equirement 1 794 40 1 794 40 78 12 |
| Dverview c China Cons China Cons 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | of RWA truction Bank Johannesburg Branch truction Bank Johannesburg Branch truction Bank Johannesburg Branch 1 Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) 2 Of which: standardised approach (SA) 3 Of which: foundation internal ratings-based (F-IRB) approach 4 Of which: supervisory slotting approach 5 Of which: advanced internal ratings-based (A-IRB) approach 6 Counterparty credit risk (CCR) 7 Of which: standardised approach for counterparty credit risk 8 Of which: internal Model Method (IMM) 9 Of which: other CCR 0 Credit valuation adjustment (CVA) 1 Equity positions under the simple risk weight approach 2 Equity investments in funds - look-through approach 3 Equity investments in funds - fall-back approach 4 Equity investments in funds - fall-back approach 5 Settlement risk 6 Securitisation exposures in the banking book 7 Of which: securitisation internal ratings-based approach (SEC-IRBA) 0 of which: securitisation external ratings-based approach (SEC-ERBA), | T 15 110 758 15 110 758 657 897 657 897 | RWA T-1 16 995 553 16 995 553 2 478 494 2 478 494 | | ım capital re | 31-Mar-1: equirement 1 794 40: 1 794 40: 78 12: 78 12: |
| Deerview c China Cons China Cons 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | of RWA truction Bank Johannesburg Branch truction Bank Johannesburg Branch 1 Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) 2 Of which: standardised approach (SA) 3 Of which: foundation internal ratings-based (F-IRB) approach 4 Of which: supervisory slotting approach 5 Of which: advanced internal ratings-based (A-IRB) approach 6 Counterparty credit risk (CCR) 7 Of which: Internal Model Method (IMM) 9 Of which: other CCR 0 Credit valuation adjustment (CVA) 1 Equity investments in funds - look-through approach 2 Equity investments in funds - securitisation standardised approach 3 Equity investments in funds - fall-back approach 4 Equity investments in funds - fall-back approach 5 Settlement risk 6 Securitisation exposures in the banking book 7 Of which: securitisation internal ratings-based approach (SEC-IRBA), 8 8 including internal assessment approach 9 Of which: securitisation standardised approach (SEC-SA) 9 Of which: securitisation standardised approach (SEC- | T 15 110 758 15 110 758 657 897 | RWA T-1 16 995 553 16 995 553 2 478 494 | | Im capital re | 31-Mar-1: equirement 1 794 40: 1 794 40: 78 12: 78 12: 78 12: |
| Deerview c China Cons China Cons 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | of RWA truction Bank Johannesburg Branch truction Bank Johannesburg Branch truction Bank Johannesburg Branch 1 Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) 2 Of which: standardised approach (SA) 3 Of which: foundation internal ratings-based (F-IRB) approach 4 Of which: supervisory slotting approach 5 Of which: advanced internal ratings-based (A-IRB) approach 6 Counterparty credit risk (CCR) 7 Of which: standardised approach for counterparty credit risk 8 Of which: internal Model Method (IMM) 9 Of which: other CCR 0 Credit valuation adjustment (CVA) 1 Equity positions under the simple risk weight approach 2 Equity investments in funds - look-through approach 3 Equity investments in funds - fall-back approach 4 Equity investments in funds - fall-back approach 5 Settlement risk 6 Securitisation exposures in the banking book 7 Of which: securitisation internal ratings-based approach (SEC-IRBA) 0 of which: securitisation external ratings-based approach (SEC-ERBA), | T 15 110 758 15 110 758 657 897 657 897 | RWA T-1 16 995 553 16 995 553 2 478 494 2 478 494 2 478 494 3 478 494 3 478 494 3 478 494 3 83 | | Im capital re | 31-Mar-1: equirement 1 794 40: 1 794 40: 78 12: 78 12: 78 12: |
| Deerview c China Cons China Cons 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 20 | of RWA truction Bank Johannesburg Branch truction Bank Johannesburg Branch 1 1 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 2 0 3 0 4 0 5 0 6 0 6 0 7 0 7 0 9 0 9 0 1< | T 15 110 758 15 110 758 657 897 657 897 | RWA T-1 16 995 553 16 995 553 2 478 494 2 478 494 2 478 494 3 478 494 3 478 494 3 478 494 3 83 | | um capital re | 31-Mar-11 equirement 1 794 403 1 794 403 1 794 403 78 125 78 125 78 125 78 125 5 |
| Dyerview c China Cons China Cons 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 20 | of RWA truction Bank Johannesburg Branch truction Bank Johannesburg Branch 1 Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) 2 Of which: standardised approach (SA) 3 Of which: foundation internal ratings-based (F-IRB) approach 6 Of which: supervisory slotting approach 6 Of which: advanced internal ratings-based (A-IRB) approach 6 Counterparty credit risk (CCR) 7 Of which: standardised approach for counterparty credit risk 8 Of which: internal Model Method (IMM) 9 Of which: standardised approach 4 Equity investments in funds - look-through approach 2 Equity investments in funds - look-through approach 4 <t< td=""><td>T 15 110 758 15 110 758 657 897 657 897</td><td>RWA T-1 16 995 553 16 995 553 2 478 494 2 478 494 2 478 494 3 478 494 3 478 494 3 478 494 3 83</td><td></td><td>um capital re</td><td>31-Mar-1: equirement 1 794 40: 1 794 40: 78 12: 78 12: 78 12: 5 5 5 5</td></t<> | T 15 110 758 15 110 758 657 897 657 897 | RWA T-1 16 995 553 16 995 553 2 478 494 2 478 494 2 478 494 3 478 494 3 478 494 3 478 494 3 83 | | um capital re | 31-Mar-1: equirement 1 794 40: 1 794 40: 78 12: 78 12: 78 12: 5 5 5 5 |
| Dyerview c China Cons China Cons 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | of RWA truction Bank Johannesburg Branch truction Bank Johannesburg Branch truction Bank Johannesburg Branch 1 Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) 2 Of which: standardised approach (SA) 3 Of which: foundation internal ratings-based (F-IRB) approach 4 Of which: supervisory slotting approach 5 Of which: advanced internal ratings-based (A-IRB) approach 6 Counterparty credit risk (CCR) 7 Of which: standardised approach for counterparty credit risk 8 Of which: internal Model Method (IMM) 9 Of which: other CCR 0 Credit valuation adjustment (CVA) 1 Equity investments in funds - look-through approach 3 Equity investments in funds - fall-back approach 4 Equity investments in funds - fall-back approach 5 Settlement risk 6 Securitisation exposures in the banking book 7 Of which: securitisation internal ratings-based approach (SEC-IRBA) 8 ind which: securitisation standardised approach (SEC-SA) 9 Of which: standardised approach (SA) 9 | T 15 110 758 15 110 758 657 897 657 897 | RWA T-1 16 995 553 16 995 553 2 478 494 2 478 494 2 478 494 3 478 494 478 494 3 478 494 478 478 478 478 478 478 478 478 478 47 | | um capital re | 31-Mar-1: equirement 1 794 40: 1 794 40: 78 12: 78 12: 78 12: 5 5 5 5 |
| Dyerview c China Cons China Cons 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | of RWA truction Bank Johannesburg Branch Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) Construct State Tore of which: standardised approach (SA) Of which: supervisory slotting approach Of which: supervisory slotting approach Counterparty credit risk (CCR) Of which: standardised approach for counterparty credit risk O f which: Internal Model Method (IMM) 9 Of which: other CCR 0 Credit valuation adjustment (CVA) 1 Equity investments in funds - look-through approach 2 Equity investments in funds - look-through approach 3 Equity investments in funds - fall-back approach 4 Equity investments in funds - fall-back approach 5 Settlement risk 6 Securitisation exposures in the banking book 7 Of which: securitisation internal ratings-based approach (SEC-IRBA) 9 Of which: securitisation standardised approach (SEC-SA) 9 Of which: standardised approach (SA) 1 Of which: standardised approach (SA) 2 Of which: internal model approaches (IMA) 3 Capital charge fo | T 15 110 758 15 110 758 657 897 657 897 657 897 45 45 985 448 258 107 | RWA T-1 16 995 553 16 995 553 2 478 494 2 478 494 2 478 494 3 478 494 478 494 3 478 494 478 478 478 478 478 478 478 478 478 47 | | um capital re | 31-Mar-11 equirement 1 794 403 1 794 403 78 122 78 122 78 123 78 125 78 125 78 125 78 125 78 125 78 125 78 125 78 |
| Deerview c China Cons China China C | of RWA truction Bank Johannesburg Branch truction Bank Johannesburg Branch 1 1 1 2 0 1 2 0 2 0 3 3 0 4 0 4 0 5 0 6 0 6 0 7 0 7 0 1 8 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 2 2 2< | T 15 110 758 15 110 758 657 897 657 897 657 897 45 45 985 448 258 107 17012255 | RWA T-1 16 995 553 16 995 553 2 478 494 2 478 494 2 478 494 3 478 494 3 83 83 985 448 | | um capital re | 31-Mar-11 equirement 1 794 403 1 794 403 78 122 78 122 78 123 78 125 78 125 78 125 78 125 78 125 78 125 78 125 78 |
| Deerview c China Cons China Cons 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | of RWA truction Bank Johannesburg Branch truction Bank Johannesburg Branch 1 1 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 4 0 4 0 5 0 6 0 6 0 1 7 0 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 2 3 3 3 4 5 5 5 6 6 6 7 7 6 5< | T 15 110 758 15 110 758 657 897 657 897 657 897 45 45 985 448 258 107 17012255 | RWA T-1 16 995 553 16 995 553 2 478 494 2 478 494 2 478 494 3 478 494 3 83 83 985 448 275 099 | | um capital re | 31-Mar-11 equirement 1 794 403 1 794 403 78 129 78 |
| Deerview c China Cons China China | of RWA truction Bank Johannesburg Branch truction Bank Johannesburg Branch credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) 2 Of which: standardised approach (SA) 3 Of which: foundation internal ratings-based (F-IRB) approach 5 Of which: advanced internal ratings-based (A-IRB) approach 6 Counterparty credit risk (CCR) 7 Of which: internal Model Method (IMM) 9 Of which: internal Model Method (IMM) 9 Of which: other CCR 0 Credit valuation adjustment (CVA) 1 Equity investments in funds - look-through approach 4 Equity investments in funds - look-through approach 2 Equity investments in funds - fall-back approach 4 Equity investments in funds - fall-back approach 4 Equity investments in funds - fall-back approach 5 Settlement risk 6 Securitisation exposures in the banking book 7 Of which: securitisation internal ratings-based approach (SEC-IRBA) 9 Of which: securitisation standardised approach (SEC-SA) 9 Of which: standardised approach (SEC-SA) 1 Of whi | T 15 110 758 15 110 758 657 897 657 897 657 897 45 45 985 448 258 107 17012255 | RWA T-1 16 995 553 16 995 553 2 478 494 2 478 494 2 478 494 3 478 494 3 83 83 985 448 275 099 | | um capital re | 31-Mar-11 equirement: 1 794 403 1 794 403 78 125 78 125 78 125 5 5 5 5 117 022 30 650 2020205 Quarterly |
| Deerview c China Cons China China | of RWA truction Bank Johannesburg Branch truction Bank Johannesburg Branch 1 1 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 4 0 4 0 5 0 6 0 6 0 1 7 0 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 2 3 3 3 4 5 5 5 6 6 6 7 7 6 5< | T 15 110 758 15 110 758 657 897 657 897 657 897 45 45 985 448 258 107 17012255 | RWA T-1 16 995 553 16 995 553 2 478 494 2 478 494 2 478 494 3 478 494 3 83 83 985 448 275 099 | | um capital re | 31-Mar-11 equirement 1 794 403 1 794 403 78 129 78 |

| | | • | |
|--|---|---|---|
| | Adjustments for investments in banking, financial, insurance or commercial | | |
| 2 | entities that are consolidated for accounting purposes but outside the scope of | | |
| 2 | regulatory consolidation Adjustment for fiduciary assets recognised on the balance sheet pursuant to the | | |
| | operative accounting framework but excluded from the leverage ratio exposure | | |
| 3 | measure | | |
| - | Adjustments for derivative financial instruments | | 702 251 |
| | Adjustment for securities financing transactions (i.e. repos and similar secured | | |
| 5 | lending) | | |
| | Adjustments for off-balance sheet items (i.e. conversion to credit equivalent | | 2 032 337 |
| | amounts of off-balance sheet exposures) | | |
| | Other adjustments | | -467 629 |
| | Leverage ratio exposure measure | | 37 924 072 |
| | R2: Leverage ratio common disclosure template (January 2014 standard) | 1 | Questerl |
| everage rat | tio ruction Bank Johannesburg Branch | | Quarterly 31-Mar-18 |
| Linina Consti | | 3 | <u>31-Wal-10</u> |
| | | a T | T-1 |
| | On-balance sheet | | |
| | On-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and securities financing | | |
| 1 | transactions (SFTs), but including collateral) | 35 214 392 | 34 405 612 |
| | (Asset amounts deducted in determining Basel III Tier 1 capital) | -24 909 | -24 812 |
| | Total on-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs) (sum of | | 0.1.000.000 |
| 3 | row 1 and 2) | 35 189 483 | 34 380 800 |
| erivative e | xposures | | |
| | Replacement cost associated with all derivatives transactions (where applicable | E01.000 | 1 803 665 |
| 4 | net of eligible cash variation margin and/or with bilateral netting) | 501 086 | |
| 5 | Add-on amounts for PFE associated with all derivatives transactions | 201 165 | 209 347 |
| | Gross-up for derivatives collateral provide where deducted from the balance | | |
| 6 | sheet assets pursuant to the operative accounting framework | | |
| | (Deductions of receivable assets for cash variation margin provided in | | |
| | derivatives transactions) | | |
| | (Exempted CCP leg of client-cleared trade exposures) | | |
| 9 | Adjusted effective notional amount of written credit derivatives | | |
| | (Adjusted effective notional offsets and add-on deductions for written credit | | |
| | derivatives) | | |
| | Total derivative exposures (sum of rows 4 to 10) | 702 251 | 2 013 013 |
| ecurities fir | nancing transactions | | |
| 12 | Gross SFT assets (with no recognition of netting), after adjusting for sale accounting transactions | | |
| | (Netted amounts of cash payables and cash receivables of gross SFT assets) | | |
| | CCR exposure for SFT assets | | |
| | Agent transaction exposures | | |
| | Total securities financing transaction exposures (sum of rows 12 to 15) | | |
| | alance sheet exposures | | |
| | Off-balance sheet exposure at gross notional amount | 4 786 953 | 4 681 686 |
| | (Adjustments for conversion to credit equivalent amounts) | -2 754 615 | -2 625 269 |
| 19 | Off-balance sheet items (sum of rows 17 and 18) | 2 032 337 | 2 056 417 |
| apital and t | total exposures | | |
| 20 | Tier 1 capital | 4 519 868 | 4 407 734 |
| 21 | Total exposures (sum of rows 3, 11, 16 and 19) | 37 924 072 | 38 450 229 |
| everage rat | | | |
| | Basel III leverage ratio | 11.92% | 11.469 |
| | Q1: Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR) | • | |
| · · · · · | verage Ratio | | Quarterly |
| hina Constr | | | |
| | ruction Bank Johannesburg Branch | | <u>31-Mar-18</u> |
| | | а | <u>31-Mar-18</u> |
| | ruction Bank Jonannesburg Branch | Total unweighted | |
| | | | |
| gh-quality | / liquid assets | Total unweighted | Total weighted value (average |
| gh-quality 1 | / liquid assets Total HQLA | Total unweighted | Total weighted value (average |
| igh-quality 1 ash outflov | r liquid assets Total HQLA <i>w</i> s | Total unweighted value (average) | Total weighted value (average 7 752 57 |
| gh-quality 1 sh outflov 2 | r liquid assets Total HQLA ws Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers, of which: | Total unweighted | Total weighted value (average 7 752 57 |
| igh-quality 1 ash outflov 2 3 | r liquid assets Total HQLA ws Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers, of which: Stable deposits | Total unweighted value (average) 9 027 | Total weighted value (average 7 752 57; 90; |
| gh-quality 1 sh outflov 2 3 4 | r liquid assets Total HQLA ws Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers, of which: Stable deposits Less stable deposits | Total unweighted value (average) 9 027 - 9 027 | Total weighted value (average 7 752 572 900 - 901 - 902 |
| igh-quality 1 ash outflov 2 3 4 | / liquid assets Total HQLA ws Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers, of which: Stable deposits Less stable deposits Unsecured wholesale funding, of which: | Total unweighted value (average) 9 027 | Total weighted value (average 7 752 572 900 - 901 - 902 |
| igh-quality 1 ash outflov 2 3 4 5 | / liquid assets Total HQLA ws Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers, of which: Stable deposits Less stable deposits Unsecured wholesale funding, of which: Operational deposits (all counterparties) and deposits in networks of | Total unweighted value (average) 9 027 - 9 027 | Total weighted value (average 7 752 572 900 - 901 - 902 |
| igh-quality 1 ash outflov 2 3 4 | / liquid assets Total HQLA vs Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers, of which: Stable deposits Less stable deposits Unsecured wholesale funding, of which: Operational deposits (all counterparties) and deposits in networks of cooperative banks | Total unweighted value (average) 9 027 - 9 027 | Total weighted value (average 7 752 572 900 - 901 - 902 |
| igh-quality 1 ash outflov 2 3 4 5 6 | / liquid assets Total HQLA vs Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers, of which: Stable deposits Less stable deposits Unsecured wholesale funding, of which: Operational deposits (all counterparties) and deposits in networks of cooperative banks Non-operational deposits (all counterparties) | Total unweighted value (average) 9 027 - 9 027 | Total weighted value (average 7 752 57 90: |
| igh-quality 1 ash outflov 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | / liquid assets Total HQLA vs Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers, of which: Stable deposits Less stable deposits Unsecured wholesale funding, of which: Operational deposits (all counterparties) and deposits in networks of cooperative banks Non-operational deposits (all counterparties) | Total unweighted value (average) 9 027 - 9 027 12 378 775 | Total weighted value (average 7 752 57. 90. - 90. 90. 90. 90. 90. 90. 90. 90. 9868 72 9868 72 9868 72 |
| igh-quality 1 ash outflow 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 | r liquid assets Total HQLA ws Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers, of which: Stable deposits Less stable deposits Unsecured wholesale funding, of which: Operational deposits (all counterparties) and deposits in networks of cooperative banks Non-operational deposits (all counterparties) Unsecured debt | Total unweighted value (average) 9 027 - 9 027 12 378 775 | Total weighted value (average 7 752 57. 90. - 90. 90. 90. 90. 90. 90. 90. 90. 9868 72 9868 72 9868 72 |
| igh-quality 1 ash outflow 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 | r liquid assets Total HQLA ws Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers, of which: Stable deposits Less stable deposits Unsecured wholesale funding, of which: Operational deposits (all counterparties) and deposits in networks of cooperative banks Non-operational deposits (all counterparties) Unsecured debt Secured wholesale funding Additional requirements, of which: | Total unweighted value (average) 9 027 - 9 027 12 378 775 | Total weighted value (average 7 752 57. 90. - 90. 90. 90. 90. 90. 90. 90. 90. 9868 72 9868 72 9868 72 |
| igh-quality 1 ash outflow 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 | / liquid assets Total HQLA ws Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers, of which: Stable deposits Less stable deposits Unsecured wholesale funding, of which: Operational deposits (all counterparties) and deposits in networks of cooperative banks Non-operational deposits (all counterparties) Unsecured debt Secured wholesale funding Additional requirements, of which: Outflows related to derivative exposures and other collateral requirements | Total unweighted value (average) 9 027 - 9 027 12 378 775 | Total weighted value (average 7 752 57 90 - 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 9868 72 9868 72 |
| igh-quality 1 ash outflov 3 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 9 10 11 11 12 | / liquid assets Total HQLA ws Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers, of which: Stable deposits Less stable deposits Unsecured wholesale funding, of which: Operational deposits (all counterparties) and deposits in networks of cooperative banks Non-operational deposits (all counterparties) Unsecured debt Secured wholesale funding Additional requirements, of which: Outflows related to derivative exposures and other collateral requirements | Total unweighted value (average) 9 027 - 9 027 12 378 775 | Total weighted value (average 7 752 57 90 - 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 9868 72 9868 72 |
| igh-quality 1 ash outflov 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | / liquid assets Total HQLA ws Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers, of which: Stable deposits Less stable deposits Unsecured wholesale funding, of which: Operational deposits (all counterparties) and deposits in networks of cooperative banks Non-operational deposits (all counterparties) Unsecured wholesale funding Secured wholesale funding Additional requirements, of which: Outflows related to derivative exposures and other collateral requirements Outflows related to loss of funding of debt products | Total unweighted value (average) 9 027 - 9 027 12 378 775 | Total weighted value (average 7 752 57 90 - 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 9868 72 9868 72 |
| igh-quality 1 ash outflow 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 11 12 2 13 14 | /liquid assets Total HQLA ws Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers, of which: Stable deposits Less stable deposits Unsecured wholesale funding, of which: Operational deposits (all counterparties) and deposits in networks of cooperative banks Non-operational deposits (all counterparties) Unsecured debt Secured wholesale funding Additional requirements, of which: Outflows related to derivative exposures and other collateral requirements Outflows related to loss of funding of debt products Credit and liquidity facilities | Total unweighted value (average) 9 027 - 9 027 12 378 775 | Total weighted value (average 7 752 57: 90: - 90: - 90: 90: 90: 90: 90: 90: 90: 90: 9868 72: 9868 72: 9868 72: |
| igh-quality 1 ash outflow 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 100 111 122 133 14 4 5 | /liquid assets Total HQLA ws Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers, of which: Stable deposits Less stable deposits Unsecured wholesale funding, of which: Operational deposits (all counterparties) and deposits in networks of cooperative banks Non-operational deposits (all counterparties) Unsecured wholesale funding Additional requirements, of which: Outflows related to derivative exposures and other collateral requirements Outflows related to loss of funding of debt products Credit and liquidity facilities Other contractual funding obligations | Total unweighted value (average) 9 027 - 9 027 12 378 775 | Total weighted value (average 7 752 57; 90; - 90; - 90; - 90; - 90; 90; 9868 72; 9868 72; 403 11; - - - |
| igh-quality 1 ash outflow 3 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 144 15 16 | r liquid assets Total HQLA ws Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers, of which: Stable deposits Less stable deposits Unsecured wholesale funding, of which: Operational deposits (all counterparties) and deposits in networks of cooperative banks Non-operational deposits (all counterparties) Unsecured debt Secured wholesale funding Additional requirements, of which: Outflows related to derivative exposures and other collateral requirements Outflows related to loss of funding of debt products Credit and liquidity facilities Other contractual funding obligations Other contractual funding obligations TOTAL CASH OUTFLOWS | Total unweighted value (average) 9 027 - 9 027 12 378 775 | Total weighted value (average 7 752 57; 90; - 90; - 90; - 90; - 90; 90; 9868 72; 9868 72; 403 11; - - - |
| igh-quality 1 ash outflow 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 ash inflows | r liquid assets Total HQLA ws Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers, of which: Stable deposits Less stable deposits Unsecured wholesale funding, of which: Operational deposits (all counterparties) and deposits in networks of cooperative banks Non-operational deposits (all counterparties) Unsecured debt Secured wholesale funding Additional requirements, of which: Outflows related to derivative exposures and other collateral requirements Outflows related to loss of funding of debt products Credit and liquidity facilities Other contractual funding obligations Other contractual funding obligations TOTAL CASH OUTFLOWS | Total unweighted value (average) 9 027 - 9 027 12 378 775 | Total weighted value (average 7 752 572 903 - 903 904 905 907 9868 723 9868 723 9868 723 403 113 9 |
| ligh-quality 1 ash outflov 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 111 122 133 14 15 16 cash inflows 17 18 | / liquid assets Total HQLA ws Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers, of which: Stable deposits Less stable deposits Unsecured wholesale funding, of which: Operational deposits (all counterparties) and deposits in networks of cooperative banks Non-operational deposits (all counterparties) Unsecured wholesale funding Additional requirements, of which: Outflows related to derivative exposures and other collateral requirements Outflows related to loss of funding of debt products Credit and liquidity facilities Other contractual funding obligations Other contingent funding obligations Other contingent funding obligations Total CASH OUTFLOWS Secured lending (e.g. reverse repo) Inflows from fully performing exposures | Total unweighted value (average) 9 027 - 9 027 12 378 775 | Total weighted value (average 7 752 572 903 - 903 903 9868 727 9868 727 9868 727 9868 727 10 338 608 |
| igh-quality 1 ash outflow 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 122 13 14 15 16 ash inflows 17 18 19 | / liquid assets Total HQLA ws Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers, of which: Stable deposits Less stable deposits Unsecured wholesale funding, of which: Operational deposits (all counterparties) and deposits in networks of cooperative banks Non-operational deposits (all counterparties) Unsecured debt Secured wholesale funding Additional requirements, of which: Outflows related to derivative exposures and other collateral requirements Outflows related to loss of funding of debt products Credit and liquidity facilities Other contractual funding obligations Other contingent funding obligations Total CASH OUTFLOWS S Secured lending (e.g. reverse repo) | Total unweighted value (average) 9 027 - 9 027 12 378 775 12 378 775 | 31-Mar-18 Total weighted value (average 7 752 572 903 - 903 - 93868 727 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 10 338 6 923 14 |

| | Total adjusted value |
|----------------|---|
| 21 | Total HQLA 7752 572 |
| 22 | Total net cash outflows 3415 465 |
| 23 | Liquidity coverage ratio (%) |
| Sot out in the | following table is an explanation of the content of each of the disclosure templates (above) along with instructions. With regard to the regulatory adjustments, banks |
| | to report deductions from capital as positive numbers and additions to capital as negative numbers. |
| | Explanation |
| | Regulatory key metrics. Banks are required to disclose each metric's value using the corresponding standard's specifications for the reporting period-end (designated by |
| KM1 | T in the template below) as well as the four previous quarter end figures (T-1 to T-4) |
| | CET1 available to meet buffers after meeting the bank's minimum capital requirements: measures the CET1 available after meeting the bank's minimum capital |
| Instructions | requirement and, if applicable, after meeting TLAC requirement. Total Basel III leverage ratio exposure measure: according to specifications set out in part 6 on leverage ratio. The amounts may reflect end-of-period or averages |
| | depending on local implementation. |
| | Total HQLA: total adjusted value according to specifications set out in part 7 on liquidity, using simple averages of daily observations over the previous quarter (i.e. the |
| | average calculated over a period of, typically, 90 days). |
| | Total Net Cash Outflow: total adjusted value according to specifications set out in part 7 on liquidity, using simple averages of daily observations over the previous |
| | quarter (i.e. the average calculated over a period of, typically, 90 days). |
| | Risk-weighted assets and capital requirements under Pillar 1. |
| | RWA: risk-weighted assets according to the Basel framework and as reported in accordance with the subsequent parts of this document. Where the regulatory framework does not refer to RWA but directly to capital charges (e.g. for market risk and operational risk), banks should indicate the derived RWA number (i.e. by |
| | RWA (T-1) : risk-weighted assets as reported in the previous Pillar 3 release (i.e. at the end of the previous quarter). |
| | Minimum capital requirement T : Pillar 1 capital requirements at the reporting date. This will normally be RWA*8% but may differ if a floor is applicable or adjustments |
| | (such as scaling factors) are applied at jurisdiction level. |
| | Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk): RWA and capital requirements according to the credit risk framework reported in Part 4 of the Pillar 3 framework; it |
| | excludes all positions subject to the securitisation regulatory framework, including securitisation exposures in the banking book (which are reported in row 12) and capital requirements relating to a counterparty credit risk charge, which are reported in row 4 |
| | Of which: standardised approach: RWA and capital requirements (capital charge) according to the market risk standardised approach. including capital requirements |
| | for securitisation positions booked in the trading book |
| | Of which: internal models approach: RWA and capital requirements (capital charge) according to the market risk IMA |
| | Operational risk: the amounts correspond to amounts disclosed according to Part 8 of the Pillar 3 framework and the corresponding Pillar 1 requirements in the Basel |
| | framework. |
| | Amounts below the thresholds for deduction (subject to 250% risk-weight):32 the amounts correspond to items subject to a 250% risk weight according to paragraph |
| | 89 of Basel III. It includes in particular significant investments in the capital of banking, financial and insurance entities that are outside the scope of regulatory consolidation and below the threshold for deduction, after application of the 250% risk weight. |
| | Floor adjustment: this row must be used to disclose the impact of any Pillar 1 floor adjustment on total RWA and total capital so that the total row reflects the total |
| | RWA and total capital requirements, including such an adjustment. Pillar 2 adjustments applied do not need to be disclosed here. How or adjustments applied at a |
| | more granular level (e.g. at risk category level) must be reflected in the capital requirements reported for this risk category. |
| | Quantitative information. The Basel III leverage ratio framework follows the same scope of regulatory consolidation as used for the risk-based capital framework. At a |
| | minimum, the disclosures should be reported on a quarter end basis. However, banks may, subject to supervisory approval, use more frequent calculations (e.g. daily or monthly approaches a capacitate the |
| | monthly averaging), as long as they do so consistently. 1 The bank's total consolidated assets as per published financial statements. |
| | 2 Where a banking, financial, insurance or commercial entity is outside the regulatory scope of consolidation, only the amount of the investment in the capital of that |
| | entity (i.e. only the carrying value of the investment, as opposed to the underlying assets and other exposures of the investee) shall be included in the leverage ratio |
| | exposure measure. However, investments in those entities that are deducted from the bank's CET1 capital or from Additional Tier 1 capital in accordance with |
| | paragraphs 84 to 89 of the Basel III framework may also be deducted from the leverage ratio exposure measure. As these adjustments reduce the total leverage ratio |
| | exposure measure, they shall be reported as a negative figure. 3 This row shows the reduction of the consolidated assets for fiduciary assets that are recognised on the bank's balance sheet pursuant to the operative accounting |
| | framework and which meet the de-recognition criteria of IAS 39 / IFRS 9 or the IFRS 10 de-consolidation criteria. As these adjustments reduce the total leverage ratio |
| | exposure measure, they shall be reported as a negative figure. |
| | 4 Adjustments related to derivative financial instruments. The adjustment is the difference between the accounting value of the derivatives recognised as assets and |
| | the leverage ratio exposure value as determined by application of paragraphs 18 to 31 of the Basel III leverage ratio framework and disclosure requirements. If this |
| | adjustment leads to an increase in exposure, institutions shall disclose this as a positive amount. If this adjustment leads to a decrease in exposure, institutions shall disclose this as a positive amount. |
| | disclose this as a negative amount. 5 Adjustments related to securities financing transactions (i.e. repos and other similar secured lending). The adjustment is the difference between the accounting value |
| | of the SFTs recognised as assets and the leverage ratio exposure value as determined by application of paragraphs 32 to 37 of the Basel III leverage ratio framework and |
| | disclosure requirements. If this adjustment leads to an increase in the exposure, institutions shall disclose this as a positive amount. If this adjustment leads to a |
| | decrease in exposure, institutions shall disclose this as a negative amount |
| | 6 The credit equivalent amount of off-balance sheet items determined by applying the relevant credit conversion factors (subject to a floor of 10%) to the nominal value |
| | of the off balance-sheet item. As these adjustments increase the total leverage ratio exposure measure, they shall be reported as a positive figure |
| | 7 Any other adjustments. If these adjustments lead to an increase in the exposure, institutions shall report this as a positive amount. If these adjustments lead to a decrease in exposure, the institutions shall disclose this as a negative amount. |
| | |
| | 8 The leverage ratio exposure, which should be the sum of the previous items Quantitative information. At a minimum, the disclosures should be on a quarter-end basis. However, banks may, subject to supervisory approval, use more frequent |
| LR2 | calculations (e.g. daily or monthly averaging), as long as they do so consistently |
| | Securities Financing Transactions: transactions such as repurchase agreements reverse repurchase agreements, security lending and borrowing, and margin lending |
| Instructions | transactions, where the value of the transactions depends on market valuations and the transactions are often subject to margin agreement |
| | Capital Measure: The capital measure for the leverage ratio is the Tier 1 capital of the risk-based capital framework as defined in paragraphs 49 to 96 of the Basel III |
| | framework41 taking account of the transitional arrangements. |
| | 1 Banks must include all balance sheet assets in their exposure measure, including on-balance sheet derivatives collateral and collateral for SFTs, with the exception of |
| | on-balance sheet derivative and SFT assets that are included in rows 4-16 |
| | 2 Balance sheet assets deducted from Basel III Tier 1 capital (as set out in paragraphs 66 to 89 of the Basel III framework). For example: |
| | and disclosure requirements, the amount of any investment in the capital of that entity that is totally or partially deducted from CET1 capital or from Additional Tier 1 |
| | capital of the bank following the corresponding deduction approach in paragraphs 84 to 89 of the Basel III framework may also be deducted |
| | from the exposure measure. |
| | - For banks using the internal ratings-based (IRB) approach to determining capital requirements for credit risk, paragraph 73 of the Basel III framework requires any |
| | shortfall in the stock of eligible provisions relative to expected losses to be deducted from CET1 capital. The same amount may be deducted from the exposure measure |
| | |

| | 3 Sum of rows 1 and 2. |
|--------------|---|
| | 4 Replacement cost (RC) associated with all derivatives transactions (including exposures resulting from direct transactions between a client and a CCP where the bank guarantees the performance of its clients' derivative trade exposures to the CCP). Where applicable, in accordance with the Basel III leverage ratio framework and |
| | disclosure requirements , net of cash variation margin received (as set out in paragraph 26 of the Basel III leverage ratio framework and disclosure requirements), and with bilateral netting (as set out in the Annex). 5 Add-on amount for the Potential Future Exposure (PFE) of all derivative exposures calculated in accordance with paragraphs 19-21 and 31 of the Basel III leverage |
| | ratio framework and disclosure requirements. |
| | 6 Grossed-up amount of any collateral provided in relation to derivative exposures where the provision of that collateral has reduced the value of the balance sheet assets under the bank's operative accounting framework, in accordance with paragraph 24 of the Basel III leverage ratio framework and disclosure requirements. |
| | 7 Deductions of receivable assets in the amount of the cash variation margin provided in derivatives transactions where the posting of cash variation margin has resulted in the recognition of a receivable asset under the bank's operative accounting framework |
| | As the adjustments in row 7 reduce the exposure measure, they shall be reported as negative figures . 8 Trade exposures associated with the CCP leg of derivatives transactions resulting from client-cleared transactions or which the clearing member, based on the contractual arrangements with the client, is not obligated to reimburse the client for any losses suffered due to changes in the value of its transactions in the event that a QCCP defaults |
| | As the adjustments in row 8 reduce the exposure measure, they shall be reported as negative figures 9 The effective notional amount of written credit derivatives which may be reduced by the total amount of negative changes in fair value amounts that have been incorporated into the calculation of Tier 1 capital with respect to written credit derivatives according to paragraph 30 of the Basel III leverage ratio framework and disclosure requirements. |
| | 10 This row includes: The amount by which the notional amount of a written credit derivative is reduced by a purchased credit derivative on the same reference name according to paragraph 30 of the Basel III leverage ratio framework and disclosure requirements. The deduction of add-on amounts for Potential Future Exposure in relation to written credit derivatives determined in accordance with paragraph 31 of the Basel III leverage ratio framework and disclosure requirements. |
| | As the adjustments in row 10 reduce the exposure measure, they shall be reported as negative figures . |
| | 11 Sum of rows 4–10. 12 The gross amount SFT assets with no recognition of any netting, other than novation with QCCPs, determined in accordance with paragraph 33 of the Basel III leverage ratio framework and disclosure requirements, adjusted for any sales accounting transactions in accordance with paragraph 34 of the Basel III leverage ratio |
| | framework and disclosure requirements. 13 The cash payables and cash receivables of gross SFT assets with netting determined in accordance with paragraphs 33(i) second bullet of the Basel III leverage ratio framework and disclosure requirements. As these adjustments reduce the exposure measure, they shall be reported as a negative figure |
| | 14 The amount of the counterparty credit risk add-on for SFTs determined in accordance with paragraph 33 (ii) of the Basel III leverage ratio framework and disclosure requirements. |
| | 15 The amount for which the bank acting as an agent in a SFT has provided an indemnity or guarantee determined in accordance with paragraphs 35 - 37 of the Basel III leverage ratio framework and disclosure requirements. |
| | 16 Sum of rows 12–15. 17 Total off-balance sheet exposure amounts (excluding off-balance sheet exposure amounts associated with SFT and derivative transactions) on a gross notional basis, before any adjustment for credit conversion factors (CCFs). |
| | 18 Reduction in gross amount of off-balance sheet exposures due to the application of CCFs. This corresponds to the complement of CCFs of the standardised approach for credit risk under the Basel II framework, subject to a floor of 10%. The floor of 10% will affect commitments that are unconditionally cancellable at any time by the bank without prior notice, or that effectively provide for automatic cancellation due to deterioration in a borrower's creditworthiness. These may receive a 0% CCF under the risk-based capital framework. As these adjustments reduce the exposure measure, they shall be reported as negative figures 19 Sum of rows 17 and 18 |
| | 20 The amount of Tier 1 capital of the risk-based capital framework as defined in paragraphs 49 to 96 of the Basel III framework4 taking account of the transitional arrangements. |
| | 21 Sum of rows 3, 11, 16 and 19. 22 Basel III leverage ratio is defined as the Tier 1 capital measure of row 20 (the numerator) divided by the exposure measure (the denominator) of row 21, with this ratio expressed as a percentage: Leverage ratio = LR2{20} / LR2{21} |
| LIQ1 | Data must be presented as simple averages of daily observations over the previous quarter (i.e. the average calculated over a period of, typically, 90 days) in the local currency. |
| Instructions | |
| | Weighted values must be calculated after the application of respective haircuts (for HQLA) or inflow and outflow rates (for inflows and outflows) Adjusted values must be calculated after the application of both (i) haircuts and inflow and outflow rates and (ii) any applicable caps (i.e. cap on Level 2B and Level 2 assets for HQLA and cap on inflows) |
| | 1 Sum of all eligible HQLA, as defined in the standard, before the application of any limits, excluding assets that do not meet the operational requirements, and including, where applicable, assets qualifying under alternative liquidity approaches |
| | 2 Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers are the sum of stable deposits, less stable deposits and any other funding sourced from (i) natural persons and/or (ii) small business customers (as defined by paragraph 231 of the Basel II framework). |
| | 3 Stable deposits include deposits placed with a bank by a natural person and unsecured wholesale funding provided by small business customers, defined as "stable" in the standard. |
| | 4 Less stable deposits include deposits placed with a bank by a natural person and unsecured wholesale funding provided by small business customers, not defined as "stable" in the standard. 5 Unsecured wholesale funding is defined as those liabilities and general obligations from customers other than natural persons and small business customers that are |
| | a onsecured wholesale funding is defined as those habilities and general obligations from customers other than natural persons and small business customers that are not collateralised. 6 Operational deposits include deposits from bank clients with a substantive dependency on the bank where deposits are required for certain activities (i.e. clearing, |
| | custody or cash management activities). Deposits in institutional networks of cooperative banks include deposits of member institutions with the central institution or specialised central service providers. |
| | 7 Non-operational deposits are all other unsecured wholesale deposits, both insured and uninsured 8 Unsecured debt includes all notes, bonds and other debt securities issued by the bank, regardless of the holder, unless the bond is sold exclusively in the retail market and held in retail accounts. |
| | 9 Secured wholesale funding is defined as all collateralised liabilities and general obligations. 10 Additional requirements include other off-balance sheet liabilities or obligations |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

| - | |
|---|---|
| | 11 Outflows related to derivative exposures and other collateral requirements include expected contractual derivatives cash flows on a net basis. These outflows also include increased liquidity needs related to: downgrade triggers embedded in financing transactions, derivative and other contracts; the potential for valuation changes on posted collateral securing derivatives and other transactions; excess non-segregated collateral held at the bank that could contractually be called at any time; contractually required collateral on transactions for which the counterparty has not yet demanded that the collateral be posted; contracts that allow collateral substitution to non-HQLA assets; and market valuation changes on derivatives or other transactions. |
| | 12 Outflows related to loss of funding on secured debt products include loss of funding on: asset backed securities, covered bonds and other structured financing instruments; and asset-backed commercial paper, conduits, securities investment vehicles and other such financing facilities |
| | 13 Credit and liquidity facilities include drawdowns on committed (contractually irrevocable) or conditionally revocable credit and liquidity facilities. The currently undrawn portion of these facilities is calculated net of any eligible HQLA if the HQLA have already been posted as collateral to secure the facilities or that are contractually obliged to be posted when the counterparty draws down the facility. |
| | 14 Other contractual funding obligations include contractual obligations to extend funds within a 30-day period and other contractual cash outflows not previously captured under the standard. |
| | 15 Other contingent funding obligations, as defined in the standard |
| | 16 Total cash outflows: sum of rows 2–15. |
| | 17 Secured lending includes all maturing reverse repurchase and securities borrowing agreements |
| | 18 Inflows from fully performing exposures include both secured and unsecured loans or other payments that are fully performing and contractually due within 30 calendar days from retail and small business customers, other wholesale customers, operational deposits and deposits held at the centralised institution in a cooperative banking network. |
| | 19 Other cash inflows include derivatives cash inflows and other contractual cash inflows. |
| | 20 Total cash inflows: sum of rows 17–19 |
| | 21 Total HQLA (after the application of any cap on Level 2B and Level 2 assets). |
| | 22 Total net cash outflows (after the application of any cap on cash inflows) |
| | 23 Liquidity Coverage Ratio (after the application of any cap on Level 2B and Level 2 assets and caps on cash inflows). |